

## **Unit 5 The Judicial Branch**

<u>Slide 1</u> - The	Branch	
<u>Slide 2</u> - The Judicial	l System: Inception	
-The judiciary under t	the of Confederation	
- Constitutional Conv	rention	
- Article III of the Co	onstitution	
- Judiciary Act of		
<ul><li>Before the C</li></ul>	Constitution, the United States had no	judicial system.
<ul> <li>Under the A</li> </ul>	articles of Confederation, each state put its own interpretation on	national laws and
decided how	v to them—a situation which increa	usingly led to
confusion an	nd between states.	
■ Thus, at the	1787 Constitutional Convention in Philadelphia, creating a nation	onal
	was of paramount concern.	
■ In	III of the Constitution, the Framers of the C	Constitution provided
specifically t	for the creation of the Court; it	left the creation of the
rest of the fe	ederal judiciary to Congress.	
• With the Jud	diciary Act of 1789, Congress laid the	for
the federal co	court system.	
<u>Slide 3</u> - Federal Cou	irts	
Constitutional Courts		
- U.S. Supreme Court	t	
- Courts of		
- District courts		
- U.S. Court of Intern	national	
Special Courts		
- Court of	Claims	

- Military		
- Court of Appeals for the Armed	Forces	
-	courts	
- U.S. Tax Court		
- Court of Veterans Affairs		
<ul> <li>There are two types of co</li> </ul>	ourts at the	level: constitutional courts
and special courts.		
<ul> <li>Constitutional courts are</li> </ul>	often referred to as the "	courts":
they include the Supreme	e Court, courts of appeals, district courts	s, and the U.S. Court of
International Trade.		
<ul> <li>Federal courts beneath th</li> </ul>	ne Supreme Court—those	by Congress—
are known as "inferior co	purts."	
<ul> <li>Special courts hear a narr</li> </ul>	row range of cases	to the expressed
powers of Congress as re	eferred to in Article I of the Constitution	1.
<ul> <li>Examples of special cour</li> </ul>	rts include the Court of Federal	(where people
sue the federal governme	ent for damages), military tribunals, cou	erts governing U.S. territories
(such as Guam and the U	J.S. Virgin Islands), the United States _	Court, and the
Court of Veterans Affairs	s, which hears cases involving matters r	relating to
	of the U.S. military.	
One other	court is the Court of the District of	Columbia: since Washington, D.C.
is not a state, it needs a s	pecial court to perform the functions	courts
normally would.		
<u>Slide 4</u> - Levels of Federal Court	s	
- Lowest—	courts	
- Middle—court of		

\_\_\_Supreme Court

•	At the	federal court level is the federal district court, which is the
	first one to hear a case.	
•	At the next level is the court of a	appeals, which hears to
	verdicts on cases tried in the low	ver courts.
•	At the highest level is the Supre	me Court, which provides the final rulings on questions of
Slide 5-	The Inferior Courts	
- All cou	urts below the U.S. Supreme	
- Federa	al district courts	
- Court	of appeals	
- Court	of	Trade
- Court	of Appeals for the Federal	
	All courts below the U.S. Supre	me Court are considered to be
	courts.	
	There are a total of	federal district courts; these courts hear about 80% of federal
	cases.	
	Courts of appeals were	in 1891 by Congress to alleviate the Supreme
	Court's caseload.	
	There are a total of cou	arts of appeals, which only hear cases that have been appealed in
	federal district courts.	
•	There are other infe	erior courts: the Court of International Trade and the Court of
	Appeals for Federal Circuit.	
	The former hears	cases relating to tariffs and trade; the latter handles
	appeals of civil cases.	
Slide 6-	Jurisdiction	
- Jurisdi	ction: the of a c	court to hear a case and apply the law.



	of Jurisdiction		
- Appel	late		
- Exclu	sive		
•			court to hear and rule on a
•		jurisdiction by checking thed	matter of the case
•			: the first court to hear a case
	has original jurisdictio  A court that hears a ca	n. se on appeal has	jurisdiction.
•		be heard in federal courts and not	jurisdiction over a case; for example, state courts.
•	Concurrent jurisdiction federal or state courts.	n deals with cases that can be hear	rd in
<u>Slide 7</u> -	The Supreme Court a	nd "Judicial Review"	
- Marbı 	ury v. Madison (1803)		: the Supreme Court has the ultimate say as
to whet	her laws and acts of gov	ernment are constitutional	
•	Though the Constitution	on created the Supreme Court, it c	lidn't define in detail its powers and duties,
	nor did it clearly	its relatio	on to other federal courts.
	The	_ case of Marbury vs. Madison of	changed that concept.
	The facts of the case h	ad more to do with	than the nature of the
	U.S. judicial system.		

•	In the last days of John Adams's presidency, the		Federalist
	Party had commissioned several Federalist-leaning judges in a	an attempt to "pack" the	e judiciary.
•	When Thomas Jefferson became president, he learned about the	hese "midnight judges"	and
	instructed Secretary of State James Madison not to		them their
	commissions.		
•	One of the "midnight judges," William Marbury, sued the Jeff	ferson	
	; he sought a "	writ of mandamus" (a	court order)
	that would force Jefferson to release the commission under the	e terms of the Judiciary	Act of 1789,
	which created the court system.		
•	Chief Justice John used the ca	se as an opportunity to	affirm the
	authority of the Supreme Court.		
•	He stated that while Marbury was entitled to his		, the section
	of the Judiciary Act which allowed for writs of mandamus wa	s unconstitutional.	
•	More importantly, the Court's unanimous	in the case a	asserted that
	only the Supreme Court could declare laws and actions		
	a concept now known as "judicial review."		
•	Though <i>Marbury</i> greatly augmented the power and		of the
	Supreme Court, Justices in the years since the decision have e	xercised this power inf	requently on
	the federal level.		
•	In most cases involving judicial review, the Supreme Court ha	as	the
	constitutionality of federal and state government laws and acti	ions.	
•0	The Court has declared acts of Congress to be unconstitutional	l only approximately _	
	times since Marbury vs. Madison.		
e 8-	The U.S. Supreme Court		
•	Supreme Court Justices are	by the president and co	onfirmed by
	the U.S. Senate.		

•	Like other	of the constitutional courts, S	Supreme Court Justices hold
	their positions for	in other words, they sta	y on the bench until they retire,
	resign, or die.		
•	The reason for this is to allo	w them to remain as impartial as possil	ble by keeping them beyond the
		of politics: in theory, judges who	o don't have to worry about
	getting reappointed or reele	cted are less likely to be	by partisan
	concerns or public opinion.		
•	The U.S. Supreme Court co	nvenes the first Monday in	and stays
	open approximately nine me	onths.	
•	Each week that the court is	in, the justi	ces hear cases Monday through
	Thursday then meet Friday	to discuss them.	
•	The following Monday they	any de	ecisions to the public.
Slide 9	- The U.S. Supreme Court		
Opinio	ns of the Court		
	Opini	on	
- Conci	urring Opinion		
	Op:	nion	
•	There are three types of Sup	oreme Court opinions:	
•	Majority opinion: the prima	ry ruling of the court; expresses the	
	of the majority of the justice	es	
		opinion: written by a justice who ag	rees with the majority opinion,
	but not with how it was read	ched	
	Dissenting opinion: written	by a justice who	with the
	majority opinion		
Slide 1	<u>0</u> - Notable Supreme Court J	ustices	
- John .	_		



- Thurgood Marshall: first		American Supreme Court Justice
- Sandra Day O'Connor: first		Supreme Court Justice
<u>Slide 11</u> - U.S. Supreme Cou	rt Cases: Freedom of Re	ligion
- 1st Amendment		
- The "	Clause"	
- The "Free Exercise Clause"		
- 14th Amendment		
<u>Cases</u>		
- Zorach v. Clauson, 1952 (re	eligious studies)	
- Engel v	, 1962 (no mandatory pr	rayer or Bible-reading in schools)
- Edwards v. Aguillard, 1987	(evolution and creationism	n)
- Westside Community School	ls v. Mergens,	(student religious groups)
■ The 1st Amendment	to the Constitution states	that "Congress shall make no law
	an establ	lishment of religion"; this is often referred to as the
"Establishment Clau	se."	
■ The 1st Amendment	also bans Congress from	passing any laws that
the "free exercise" o	f religion; this is often refe	erred to as the "Free Exercise Clause."
<ul> <li>These two clauses for</li> </ul>	orm the basis for	of religion in the United States.
In addition, the 14th	Amendment's guarantee t	hat states cannot "make or
any law which shall	abridge the privilegesof	the citizens of the United States" or
	to any person within its j	urisdiction the equal protection of the laws" ensures
freedom of	at th	e state and local levels.
■ Important Supreme (	Court cases involving free	dom of religion have included:
Zorach v. Clauson,	1952: The Court ruled that	must release students for
religious studies as l	ong as the studies do not t	ake place on school property

•	Engel v. Vitale, 1962: The Court1	mandatory prayer and Bible-reading
	in schools.	
•	Westside Community Schools v. Mergens, 1990: The Court sta	ated that the
	Amendment's Equal Access Clause allows students to have re	eligious groups on public school
	campuses if other non-academic clubs exist.	
•	Edwards v. Aguillard, 1987: The Court ruled that the teaching	g of in
	public schools could not be forbidden and the teaching of	
	could not be made mandatory.	
Slide 12	2- U.S. Supreme Court Cases: Freedom of Religion (continu	ed)
- Lynch	v. Donnelly, 1984 ( displays)	
- Marsh	n v. Chambers, 1983 (legislative prayers)	
- Bob Jo	ones University v. U.S., 1983 (religion and	discrimination)
- Lemon	n v. Kurtzman, 1971 (state to religious schools)	
•	Lynch v. Donnelly, 1984: The Court ruled that seasonal displa	ys on public
	could include religious elements (su	ch as a Nativity scene) as long as
	non-religious were featured as well.	
•	Marsh v. Chambers, 1983: The Court ruled that state	
	and the U.S. Congress could have chaplains begin legislative	sessions with a prayer.
•	The ruling cited the long history of the	in America, and also
	distinguished it from school prayer by noting that unlike	,
	legislators are adults and therefore "not susceptible to religiou	s indoctrination or peer pressure."
- (	Bob Jones University v. U.S., 1983: Bob Jones University, a _	college in
	South Carolina, had a policy of refusing to admit students who	o married interracially or
	interracial dating and	marriage.
•	The IRS claimed the school practiced racial	and
	consequently denied it tay-exempt status	

•	The school appealed, claiming their	came from the Bible.	
•	The Supreme Court ruled against the university,	, that the feder	al
	government had a "fundamental overriding inter	rest in eradicating racial discrimination in	
	education."		
•	Lemon v. Kurtzman, 1971: The Court established	ed the so-called " Test" to	,
	determine the constitutionality of any law that _	for aid to	
	religious schools.		
•	For such a law to be constitutional, it has to have	e a "secular legislative purpose," it can neither	
	"" nor "inhibit" religio	on, and it must not foster "an excessive	
	government entanglement with religion."		
Slide 1	13- U.S. Supreme Court Cases: Freedom of Exp	ression-	
- Near	v. Minnesota, 1931 ("prior	.")	
- Mille	er v. California, 1973 (obscenity)		
- Braze	enburg v. Hayes, 1972 (		
•	The Constitution also protects freedom of		n of
	speech and of the press.		
•	The 1st Amendment states that Congress	pass any law "abridging the	
	freedom of speech, or of the press."		
•	However, the Supreme Court has ruled that free	dom of expression is not	
	, and in certain cas	ses has placed limitations on these freedoms.	
•	Important Supreme Court	_ involving freedom of expression have include	ed:
-	Near v. MN, 1931: "Prior restraint" refers to	of a work	
	before publication.		
	In the Near decision, the Court ruled that	restraint was generally	
	unconstitutional, but could be exercised if neces	ssary to preserve national security.	

can be legally characterized as "obs	cene."
First, an "average person applying contemporary	standards"
would be likely to find that the material "appeals to the prurient interest	st" (i.e., is specifically
designed to arouse sexual desire).	
Second, the material has to or describe "in a p	eatently offensive way,
sexual conduct" specifically defined by an anti-obscenity law.	
Third, the material has to lack "serious literary,	, political, or
scientific value.	
Brazenburg v. Hayes, 1972: The press has often	for the need to protect
the confidentiality of its sources, claiming that without confidentiality	many sources would not
reveal information to the general publi	ic.
In Brazenburg, the Court more or less disagreed with the idea of a right	nt to confidentiality, stating
that reporters have the same as oth	er citizens to "respond to
relevant questions put to them in the course of a	grand jury
investigation or criminal trial."	
However, the Court left it up to Congress and the States to	laws protecting the
confidentiality of a reporter's sources.	
Approximately 30 today have such "shield laws	s."
- U.S. Supreme Court Cases: Freedom of Expression (cont.)	
v. Des Moines Independent School District, 1969 (	speech)
v. Johnson, 1989 (flag burning)	
uormart Inc., v. Rhode Island, 1996 (	speech)
Tinker v. Des Moines School Independent District, 1969: "Symbolic s	
made in forms other than verbal or cor	mmunication

their	to the Vietnan	n War.
<ul> <li>The school the</li> </ul>	en	the students.
<ul> <li>In its ruling, th</li> </ul>	ne Court came out in	of the students, claiming that a school
can limit stude	ents' freedom of speech only if such spee	ech is likely to cause a "substantial
	."	
<ul> <li>The ruling also</li> </ul>	o contained the famous	that students do not "shed
their constituti	ional rights to freedom of speech or expr	ession at the schoolhouse gate."
■ Texas v. Johns	son, 1989: The Court ruled that	the American flag as a
form of politic	eal protest is protected as freedom of exp	ression.
• 44 Liquormar	t, Inc., v. RI, 1996: The Court ruled that of	commercial speech is
	by the 1st and 14th A	mendments, but advertising that is false or
misleading is	still forbidden.	
ide 15- Freedom of l	Expression vs. National Security	
Sedition		
Alien and	Acts, 1798	
	Act of 1917/Sedition Act of 191	18
Cchenck v. U.S., 1919		
<ul> <li>Sometimes free</li> </ul>	pedom of expression conflicts with	security.
<ul> <li>Sedition invol</li> </ul>	ves advocating or inciting the	or overthrow of
government.		
In the past, Co	ongress has enacted laws that	seditious speech.
• The first such	instance occurred with the	and Sedition Acts of 1798, which
were passed d	uring a period in which	was in an "undeclared war"
with France.		

•	The Acts empowered the president to deport	residing in the
	U.S. and also made it a crime to engage in any "false, scandalous, and malici-	ous"
	of the government.	
•	They were repealed because they could allow the	to use these
	against his adversaries in politics.	
•	During World War I, Congress passed the Espionage Act of 1917, which made	de it a crime to
	with the draft.	
•	A year later, the Sedition Act made it illegal to interfere with the sale of	
	bonds (sold by the government to finance the war), obstruct military recruiting	g, or to "print, write,
	or publish any disloyal,, scurrilous, or abusive lang	guage about the form
	of government of the United States."	
•	Over 1000 people were convicted of the I	Espionage and
	Sedition Acts.	
•	Some challenged their in court, and in 19	19 the case of
	Schenck v. U.S. reached the Supreme Court. Charles Schenck, a	
	had published and distributed fliers urging men to	the draft.
•	The Court upheld Schenck's conviction, noting that "words can be	,,,
	and asserting the right of Congress to pass laws prohibiting speech that poses	a "clear and
	danger."	
Slide 1	6- Freedom of Expression vs. National Security (continued)	
- Sediti	ous Acts a time of peace:	
- Smith	Act, 1940	
	v. <i>U.S.</i> , 1951	
- Yates	v. <i>U.S.</i> ,	
- McCa	rran Act, 1950	
- Comn	nunist v. SACB, 1961	

on v. SACB, 1965		
Anti-sedition laws have also been	n	during times of peace.
The Alien Registration Act of 19	40 (also known as the Smith A	ct) made it illegal to
	overthrowing or destroying the	government of the United
Statesby force or violence."		
Legal challenges to the Smith Ac	et have	it as
riolating the 1st Amendment; the	e results of these cases have bee	en mixed.
The 1951 case of <i>Dennis</i> v. U.S.	concerned officers of the Amer	rican
	Party who had been convic	ted under the Smith Act.
he Supreme Court upheld the _		, stating that "an attempt to
overthrow the government by for	rceis a sufficient evil for Con	gress to prevent."
However, in the 1957 case of Yat	tes v. U.S. the Court	the Smith
Act convictions of some Commu	nist Party leaders, ruling that w	while it is not illegal to merely urg
someone to believe in the overthr	row of the	, it is illegal to
urge them to actually do something	ng to overthrow the governmen	nt.
The McCarran Act of 1950 requi	red all communists to	with the
U.S. Attorney General. Challenge	es to the act resulted in the follo	owing decisions:
Communist Party v. SACB, 1961	: The Court ruled that the gove	rnment could not use an
ndividual's	beliefs as justificati	on for forcing that person to
register with the	General.	
Albertson v. SACB, 1965: The Co	ourt ruled that to force someone	e to register with the Attorney
General	the 5th Amendment's prof	tection against self-incrimination.

## **Slide 17-** Freedom of Assembly and Petition

- "Time-place-manner"
- "Content neutral"

Cases		
- Grayı	ed v. City of Rockford,	
- Cox v	Louisiana, 1965	
- Forsy	h County v. Nationalist, 1992	
•	The 1st Amendment states that "Congress shall make no	
	lawthe right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to	)
	petition the Government for a redress of grievances."	
•	Freedom of assembly covers not only public and rallies, but also	
	extends to a person's right to create and/or belong to political parties,	
	groups, or other such associations that have "peaceable" goals.	
•	However, the courts have ruled that do exist to freedom of assembly.	
•	In general, the government can make laws regulating the "time, place, and manner" of	
	assemblies so long as these laws are "content neutral."	
•	In other words, a law or ordinance cannot limit freedom of assembly	or
	the content of a protest or rally; it can only limit when, where, and how the protest or	
	can take place.	
•	Important Supreme Court cases involving freedom of assembly and petition have included:	
•	Grayned v. City of Rockford, 1972: The Court upheld city	_
	prohibiting disturbances or noises that disrupt a school.	
•	Cox v. Louisiana, 1965: The Court upheld laws prohibiting ne	ar
	a courthouse when they are intended to influence a trial.	
-	Forsyth County v. Nationalist Movement, 1992: The Court ruled that a county could not charge	a
	fee for public	
Slide 1	- Due Process	
- Subst	ntive due process	
-	due process	

T <sub>V</sub>			
Mr. Beard Social Studies Dept.			
Social Studies Dept.	Name	Date	Period

( '		PS
$\sim$	as	-

Rochir	a v. CA, 1952 (procedural due process)	
Pierce	v. Society of Sisters, 1925 (	due process)
•	The 5th Amendment states that the government cannot	any person
	of "life, liberty, or property without due process of law."	
•	Due process cases involve questions of whether the government	nt has acted
	and reasonably, and in accordance with appropriate laws and i	rules.
•	Over the years, court rulings have made a	between substantive
	due process and procedural due process.	
•	Substantive due process deals with government laws and	;
	procedural due process deals with government actions and me	thods.
•	Two cases illustrate the	between substantive due process
	and procedural due process:	
•	Rochin v. CA, 1952: When police confronted Rochin, a	drug
	dealer, he swallowed the evidence.	
•	The officers then him to have his	s stomach pumped.
	The Supreme Court ruled the police had	procedural due process
•	Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 1925: A 1922 Oregon law had req	uired all children between the ages
	of eight and 16 to public schools.	
•	The Society of Sisters, a Roman Catholic order,	the law; the
	Supreme Court then ruled that the statute violated substantive	due process because it
	"unreasonably with the li	berty of parents to direct the
	upbringing and education" of their children.	
$\mathbf{r}$	The Court acknowledged that while the state did have a right	o pass
	education laws, it did no	ot have the right to force children to
	receive that education from public schools.	

## Slide 19- Due Process (continued)

to	Privacy
wc	old v. CT,
v.	, 1973
	Schmerber v. CA, 1966: Legally, the term "police power" refers to the
	of the state to protect public health, safety, and welfare.
	In <i>Schmerber</i> , a policeman had ordered drawn from a man suspected of drunk
	driving.
	The man appealed, claiming his due processhad been violated.
	The Supreme Court disagreed, that the blood had been taken
	according to accepted medical practice, the officer had cause to
	believe the man was drunk, and that in the time it would have taken to obtain a search warrant
	ordering the blood drawn, the evidence—the in the suspect's
	bloodstream—could have disappeared.
	Legally, a "right to" refers to security from government intrusion into
	one's private life.
	Though the Constitution does not specifically guarantee a right to privacy, the Supreme Court has
	ruled that due process creates a right to privacy.
	Notable cases dealing with the right to privacy include:
	Griswold v. CT, 1965: This case concerned a state law that made birth-control
	illegal and outlawed the use of birth-control devices.
	illegal and outlawed the use of birth-control devices.  The Court ruled that the law violated due process, stating that the government had no right to



<ul><li>The Court</li></ul>	struck down a Texas l	law	abor	tion, stating that the
right to pri	vacy encompassed "a	woman's decision v	whether or not to	
		her pregnancy	"	
Slide 20- Rights of	the Accused			
Important terms				
- Writ of	corp	pus		
- Bill of attainder				
- Ex post	Laws			
- Double jeopardy				
-	_ trial			
- Bench trial				
• Writ of had	beas corpus: Habeas <sub>_</sub>		is a Latin term	n which literally means
"you have	the body."			
• The		refers to the idea	that a person held in ja	il must be brought
before a co	ourt to		why they're being held	l.
■ In other wo	ords, a person cannot	be held in jail		without the
governmen	nt filing formal charge	es against them.		
<ul> <li>Bill of atta</li> </ul>	inder: An act or law th	hat declares a persor	or group of people gu	ilty of a crime and
		punishment or j	penalties without a trial	
■ Ex post fac	to laws: Ex post facto	is Latin for "from a	thing done	.,,
• An ex post	facto law defines a _		crime and retr	oactively applies
punishmen	at or penalty for comm	nitting that crime.		
<ul> <li>In essence,</li> </ul>	, even if an action was	s not defined as a cri	me when a person	
		it, an ex post fact	to law still penalizes the	e person.
• Although e	ex post facto		laws are illegal, civ	il laws <u>can</u> be made
retroactive				



•	Double jeopardy: This term refers to being tried	for the same crime.
•	The 5th Amendment prohibits double jeopardy, stating that	t no one can be "subject for the same
	to be twice put in jeopardy of life	e or limb."
•	However, a person can be tried twice for the same crime if	their violated
	both state and federal law.	
•	Jury trial: The 6th Amendment states that a person	of a crime have
	the right to a "speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury	of the state."
•	In the trial, the accused must be	of the charges against them, have
	the opportunity to confront their	_ and witnesses against them, be
	allowed to call witnesses to testify in their favor, and be pr	ovi <mark>ded with a</mark>
	to assist them with their de	fense.
•	Bench trial: Sometimes a person accused of a crime can _	their right to a
	jury trial and opt for a bench trial instead.	
•	In a bench trial, thealone hears	the evidence and renders a verdict.
Slide 2	<u>1</u> - Rights of the Accused (continued)	
- Марр	v. OH, 1961 (rule)	
- Gideo	n v. Wainwright, 1963 (right to counsel)	
- Mirar	ada v. AZ, 1966 (self	)
•	Important Supreme Court cases involving rights of the	have
	included:	
•	Mapp v. OH, 1961: The 4 <sup>th</sup> Amendment protects citizens a	gainst
	searches and seiz	zures."
	Any evidence obtained by authorities as a result of an	search cannot
	be used against the accused at trial: this is known as the ex	clusionary rule.
	In Mapp, the Supreme Court threw out	obtained in a police
	search that had been conducted without a warrant.	



Gideon v. Wainwright, 1963: In this case, the Court	the 6th
Amendment's right to counsel, ruling that anyone accuse	ed of a felony is entitled to a public
<i>Miranda</i> v. <i>AZ</i> , 1966: In a	based on the 5th Amendment's
right against self-incrimination, the Court ruled that before	re any police
can take place, all susp	pects must be informed of their
constitutional rights.	
- Rights of the Accused: The 8 <sup>th</sup> Amendment	
Salerno, 1987 ( detention)	
n v. Georgia, 1972 (outlawed death penalty laws)	
v. Georgia, 1976 (allowed "two-stage"	_penalty laws)
v. Georgia, 1977 ( when d	eath penalty can be imposed)
The 8th Amendment protects those	of a crime from having to pay
excessive fines for bail or be subject to "cruel and unusua	al
."·	
One major debate the issue	of whether capital punishment violates
the 8th Amendment's guarantee against cruel and	punishment.
Important Supreme Court cases	the 8th Amendment have
included:	
U.S. v. Salerno, 1987: In 1984, Congress passed the Prev	ventive
Law, which allowed a federal judge to hold defendants w	vithout bail if they will likely
another crime before trial	l or are apt to flee.
In the Salerno case, the appellants argued that the law un	dermined
of innocence and effec	tively inflicted punishment without the
benefit of a trial; however, the Court	and upheld the Preventive
Detention Law.	

	Furman v. GA, 1972: The Court outlawed capital punishment,	
	that current state laws gave too much discretion to	and juries in
	deciding whether to impose death sentences.	
•	Gregg v. GA, 1976: After Furman, Congress and many states	"two-
	stage" death penalty laws which provided for two trials in capital cases: one to	
	guilt or innocence and another to determine v	whether a person
	convicted of murder deserved to be put to death.	
	In Gregg, the Court upheld the constitutionality of these two-stage laws, effect	tively
	capital punishment.	
	Coker v. GA, 1977: The Court ruled that the death penalty could only be	
	for "crimes resulting in the death of the victim	,,,
ide 23	3- Civil Rights and Liberties	
	rights	
Civil _		
Equal	Clause	
	Civil rights are the guaranteed governmental	of individual
	constitutional rights for all people.	
_	Civil rights are embodied in laws that prohibit discrimination and	o anno
•		equa
•	protection of the law for all.	equa
•	protection of the law for all.  Civil liberties are protections from or arbitrary actions.	
	Civil liberties are protections from or arbitrary ac government; examples include freedom of speech, freedom of religion, and	ctions of
	Civil liberties are protections from or arbitrary ac	ctions of
	Civil liberties are protections from or arbitrary as government; examples include freedom of speech, freedom of religion, and against unreasonable searches and seizures	ctions of
	Civil liberties are protections from or arbitrary as government; examples include freedom of speech, freedom of religion, and against unreasonable searches and seizures.  The 14 <sup>th</sup> Amendment says that no state can "deny to any person within its	ctions of

•	I nough not all discrimination is if	negal—after all, national, state, and i	ocai governments an need
	to be able to	and draw distinctions between	ween different groups of
	people for legal and administrative	e purposes—no acts, laws, or practic	es can
	singl	le out a specific class of people.	
Slide 24	4- Civil Rights: Segregation		
- "Jim (	Crow" laws		
- Plessy	y v	1896	
•	After Reconstruction ended in 187	76, many Southern states began to pa	ass racial
	1	aws (also known as "Jim Crow" law	s) designed to keep blacks
	and whites separate.		
•	Although segregation in the South	clearly	whites, it was not until
	1896 that any legal challenge to se	egregation reached the	Court.
	Plessy v. Ferguson, 1896: The cas	se arose when Homer Plessy, a	of mixed
	race, took a seat in the "Whites Or	nly" section of a Louisiana train and	refused to move when
•	He was arrested and	for violating Louisia	ana's segregation law.
•	In the lower courts, Plessy lost, so	he	to the Supreme Court.
•	The Court ruled against Plessy; Ju	stice Henry B. Brown,	the
	opinion for the 8-1 majority, state	d that as long as the facilities provide	ed were "equal," it was legal
	tot	by race.	
•	The <i>Plessy</i> decision provided a leg	gal basis for segregation, and the phr	rase
	ubu	at equal" became ingrained in the pub	olic consciousness.
Slide 2:	<u>5</u> - Civil Rights: Ending Segregation	on .	
- Brown	n v. Board of Education of Topeka,	Kansas,	
- De jur	re segregation vs. de facto segregati	on	
- Alexan	under v. Holmes County Board of	. 1969	

as well as in		king to overturn <i>Plessy</i> and to	
the justices ruled on all the cases under the "umbrella" of a	as well as in	education.	
of Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, Kansas.  NAACP Lawyer	The association managed to get	five	cases before the Supreme Court
NAACP Lawyer Marshall argued that segregation was inherent harmful psychologically and socially to black children.  Chief Justice Earl knew how important the Court's decision would be he worked behind the scenes to get a decision in order to determine the challenges to the ruling.  Voting 9-0, the Court ruled that school segregation violated the 14 <sup>th</sup> Amendment.  In writing the decision, Warren repudiated *Plessy*, asserting to "separate but equal is inherently unequal."  **De jure* segregation vs. *de facto* segregation*: When it came to Brown* and actually desegregating schools, Southern states dragged their feet.  A year after the initial *Brown* decision, the Supreme Court issued a "second" *Brown* decision ordering that schools be with all deliberate speed."  While *Brown* the legal basis for school segregation, facto* segregation still existed in many places because the federal government had only a lin to enforce the ruling.  *Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education*, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some schools*.	the justices ruled on all the case	s under the "umbrella" of a	in the case
harmful psychologically and socially to black children.  Chief Justice Earl knew how important the Court's decision would be he worked behind the scenes to get a decision in order to determine the worked behind the scenes to get a decision in order to determine the worked behind the scenes to get a decision in order to determine the worked behind the scenes to get a decision in order to determine the worked behind the scenes to get a decision in order to determine the worked behind the scenes to get a decision violated the 14 <sup>th</sup> Amendment.  In writing the decision, Warren repudiated *Plessy*, asserting to "separate but equal is inherently unequal."  **De jure* segregation vs. *de facto* segregation*. When it came to drawn and actually desegregating schools, Southern states drawn and actually desegregating schools, Southern states drawn and actually desegregating schools, Southern states drawn and actually desegregation schools schools segregation at the legal basis for school segregation, facto segregation still existed in many places because the federal government had only a line to end of the 1960s, some schools designed and s	of Brown v. Board of Education	n of Topeka, Kansas.	
Chief Justice Earl knew how important the Court's decision would be he worked behind the scenes to get a decision in order to dete future challenges to the ruling.  Voting 9-0, the Court ruled that school segregation violated the 14 <sup>th</sup> Amendment.  In writing the decision, Warren repudiated <i>Plessy</i> , asserting to "separate but equal is inherently unequal."  De jure segregation vs. de facto segregation: When it came to Brown and actually desegregating schools, Southern states dragged their feet.  A year after the initial Brown decision, the Supreme Court issued a "second" Brown decision ordering that schools be "with all deliberate speed."  While Brown the legal basis for school segregation, facto segregation still existed in many places because the federal government had only a line to enforce the ruling.  Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some schools are schools as a contraction of the 1960s, some schools are schools as a contraction of the 1960s, some schools are schools as a contraction of the 1960s, some schools are schools as a contraction of the 1960s, some schools are schools.	NAACP Lawyer	Marshall argued	d that segregation was inherently
he worked behind the scenes to get a	harmful psychologically and so	cially to black children.	
Voting 9-0, the Court ruled that school segregation violated the 14 <sup>th</sup> Amendment.  In writing the decision, Warren repudiated <i>Plessy</i> , asserting to "separate but equal is inherently unequal."  De jure segregation vs. de facto segregation: When it came to dragged their feet.  A year after the initial Brown decision, the Supreme Court issued a "second" Brown decision ordering that schools be "with all deliberate speed."  While Brown the legal basis for school segregation, facto segregation still existed in many places because the federal government had only a lin to enforce the ruling.  Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some school school segregation.	Chief Justice Earl	knew how important	the Court's decision would be, an
Voting 9-0, the Court ruled that school segregation violated the 14 <sup>th</sup> Amendment.  In writing the decision, Warren repudiated <i>Plessy</i> , asserting to "separate but equal is inherently unequal."  De jure segregation vs. de facto segregation: When it came to Brown and actually desegregating schools, Southern states dragged their feet.  A year after the initial Brown decision, the Supreme Court issued a "second" Brown decision ordering that schools be "with all deliberate speed."  While Brown the legal basis for school segregation, facto segregation still existed in many places because the federal government had only a line to enforce the ruling.  Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some schools are schools as a school segregation.	he worked behind the scenes to	get a	decision in order to deter
Amendment.  In writing the decision, Warren repudiated <i>Plessy</i> , asserting to "separate but equal is inherently unequal."  De jure segregation vs. de facto segregation: When it came to dragged their feet.  A year after the initial Brown decision, the Supreme Court issued a "second" Brown decision ordering that schools be "with all deliberate speed."  While Brown the legal basis for school segregation, facto segregation still existed in many places because the federal government had only a lim to enforce the ruling.  Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some school school segregation.	future challenges to the ruling.		
In writing the decision, Warren	Voting 9-0, the Court ruled that	school	segregation violated the 14 <sup>th</sup>
"separate but equal is inherently unequal."  De jure segregation vs. de facto segregation: When it came to	Amendment.		
De jure segregation vs. de facto segregation: When it came to	In writing the decision, Warren		repudiated Plessy, asserting that
Brown and actually desegregating schools, Southern states	"separate but equal is inherently	v unequal."	
dragged their feet.  A year after the initial <i>Brown</i> decision, the Supreme Court issued a "second" <i>Brown</i> decision ordering that schools be "with all deliberate speed."  While <i>Brown</i> the legal basis for school segregation, facto segregation still existed in many places because the federal government had only a ling to enforce the ruling.  Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some schools greater than the school segregation, and the school segregation, and the school segregation, are schools greater than the school segregation, and the school segregation, are schools greater than the school segregation, and the school segregation, are schools greater than the school segregation, and the school segregation, are schools greater than the school segregation, and the school segregation, are schools greater than the school segregation, and the school segregation still exist the school segregation, are schools greater than the school segregation, and the school segregation still exist that the school segregation still exist that the school segregation still exist the school segregation still exist that the school segregation school segregation still exist that the school segregation still exist that the school segregation still exist that the school segregation school segregation still exist the school segregation school	De jure segregation vs. de facto	segregation: When it came to	
A year after the initial <i>Brown</i> decision, the Supreme Court issued a "second" <i>Brown</i> decision ordering that schools be "with all deliberate speed."  While <i>Brown</i> the legal basis for school segregation, facto segregation still existed in many places because the federal government had only a ling to enforce the ruling.  Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some schools segregation, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some schools segregation.	Brown and actually desegregation	ng schools, Southern states	
ordering that schools be "with all deliberate speed."  While Brown the legal basis for school segregation,  facto segregation still existed in many places because the federal government had only a lin  to enforce the ruling.  Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some schools.	dragged their feet.		
While Brown the legal basis for school segregation,  facto segregation still existed in many places because the federal government had only a lin  to enforce the ruling.  Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some school	A year after the initial <i>Brown</i> d	ecision, the Supreme Court issu	ued a "second" Brown decision
facto segregation still existed in many places because the federal government had only a lin  to enforce the ruling.  Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some schools.	ordering that schools be		'with all deliberate speed."
to enforce the ruling.  Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some school.	While Brown	the legal	basis for school segregation, de
Alexander v. Holmes County Board of Education, 1969: By the end of the 1960s, some scho	facto segregation still existed in	many places because the feder	ral government had only a limited
	to	enforce the ruling.	
such as Mississinni's Holmes County, had still	Alexander v. Holmes County Bo	oard of Education, 1969: By th	e end of the 1960s, some school
, such as infosiosippi s frontics County, had still	, sucl	as Mississippi's Holmes Cour	nty, had still



•	In the Alexander case, the Court put an end to <i>de facto</i> segregation, ruling that "the			
	operation of segregated schools under a standar	operation of segregated schools under a standard allowing for 'all		
	deliberate speed'is no longer pe	ermissible."		
Slide 2	<u>26</u> - Civil Rights			
- The _	Rights Act of 1964			
- Rege	ents of the University of California v. Bakke,			
- Unite	ed Steelworkers v. Weber, 1979			
•	The Civil Rights Acts of 1964 attacked many of the	of "Jim Crow"		
	while also providing several major benefits for			
•	Its provisions included the use of different voter reg	gistration standards for		
	whites and blacks, barring in "public a	accommodations,"		
	allowing for withholding of federal funds from programs which were "admi	nistered in a		
	discriminatory manner," and establishing a right to	of opportunity in		
	employment.			
	In effect, the act provided the general and the Jus	stice Department with		
	the legal might they needed in order to aggressively dismantle segregation.			
•	Regents of the University of CA v. Bakke, 1978: So-called "affirmative action	on" policies were		
	designed to the past effects of discrimination again	nst minorities.		
	Such policies often accomplished this goal by qu			
	number or percentage of minorities that had to be hired or			
	pool of applicants.			
	The <i>Bakke</i> case highlighted a problem inherent in	action		
	programs.	uction		
		nadical school at the		
_	Bakke, a white man, had been denied to the n University of California at Davis.	neuteat sentout at tile		
	University of Camornia at Davis.			



•	UC Davis admissions used a system that guaranteed 16 of the medical school's
	100 places to minorities.
•	Bakke sued the University of California, the school's quotas violated the
	Equal Protection Clause and amounted to reverse discrimination.
•	The Supreme Court ruled in Bakke's, stating that while race may be used as
	one factor in determining admissions it cannot be the only factor.
•	United Steelworkers v. Weber, 1979: This case involved a steelworker who
	sued because he had been rejected in favor of black co-workers for a
	program at his company; quotas had figured into his rejection.
•	The Court ruled in favor of the company, that quotas did not
	necessarily mean reverse discrimination—especially in cases where they helped to "overcome
	manifest racial "